

NO means NO

Presented by:

Carole Clinch BA, BPHE

Author of 15+ reviews submitted to government agencies

Author of 4 peer-reviewed papers on Fluoride Toxicity published in the past 2
years

Does the public believe that there are safety studies for the fluoride products used in drinking water?

YES

Are safety studies for fluoride products a legal requirement in Canada?

YES

THE EVIDENCE...

Anything put into drinking water must satisfy all “prescribed standards” i.e., National Sanitation Foundation (NSF) Standard 60:

Safe Drinking Water Act of Ontario

- “[n]o person shall cause or permit any thing to enter a drinking-water system if it could result in ... a contravention of a prescribed standard”. *Safe Drinking Water Act, Section 21(1)(b)*
- “All chemicals and materials used in the alteration or operation of the drinking water system that come into contact with water within the system shall meet all applicable standards set by both the American Water Works Association (“AWWA”) and the American National Standards Institute (“ANSI”) safety criteria standards **NSF/60** and NSF/61.” *Safe Drinking Water Act, Section 31(1), Municipal Drinking Water Licenses (MDWL), Schedule B, Section 14*

THE EVIDENCE

The “prescribed standard” called NSF Standard 60 requires safety studies on animals (toxicology studies):

Health Canada :

- "The [NSF] standard requires a **toxicology review** to determine that the product is safe..."
Petition #221 to the Auditor General of Canada

NSF

- “The NSF standard requires that the chemicals added to drinking water, as well as any impurities in the chemicals, be supported by **toxicological evaluation.**” *Stan Hazan, General Manager, Drinking Water Additives Certification Program, NSF*
- “A **toxicology evaluation** of test results is required to determine if any contaminant concentrations have the potential to cause adverse human health effects” *NSF Fact Sheet 2008*

Do these safety studies exist???

NO

THE EVIDENCE...

Health Canada, the Public Health Service and others knew, or should have known, that these safety studies have never been done on the actual products used in drinking water:

U.S. EPA

- “In collecting the data for the fact sheet, EPA was not able to identify chronic studies for these chemicals.”

The NSF Certification is Fraudulent

2004 Deposition by Stan Hazan, General Manager, Drinking Water Additives Certification Program, National Sanitation Foundation (NSF)

- “NSF failed to follow its own Standard 60 procedures”
- “I would say that the HFSA submissions have not come with the tox studies referenced.”



Recapitulation...

NSF admits that they are not enforcing their own standards.

NSF admits they have no studies on health and safety of the F product – a requirement of NSF Standard 60.

THEREFORE

The fluoride products, by definition, do not meet the **LEGAL REQUIREMENT** that the Fluoride product conform to NSF.

THEREFORE

There is **NO LEGAL PRODUCT** with which to fluoridate!

Due Diligence is Necessary

Municipalities cannot rely on NSF “certification” which we now know is **FRAUDULENT.**

NSF “certification” does NOT provide proof of conformity to:

1. NSF Standard 60

**2. legal requirements of the *Safe Drinking Water Act of Ontario*,
*Section 31 (1), Municipal Drinking Water Licenses (MDWL), Schedule B, Section 14.***

Does the public believe that Health
Canada has regulated and
approved the fluoride products used
in drinking water?

YES

Has Health Canada regulated or approved the fluoride products used in drinking water?

NO

HEALTH CANADA

in Petition #299, answer #3 to the Auditor General of Canada:

“Health Canada does not regulate hexafluorosilicic acid or sodium silicofluoride products, the actual products used in water fluoridation, which are allegedly used as a medical treatment to prevent dental disease.”

Are Municipalities Responsible for
the Safety of Fluoridation Products?

YES

BECAUSE...

1. Municipalities can decide whether or not to use artificial water fluoridation
2. Municipalities choose fluoridation products
3. Municipalities buy fluoridation products
4. Municipalities put fluoridation products into drinking water

THE EVIDENCE

“Given that the safety of drinking water is essential for public health, those who discharge the oversight responsibilities of the municipality should be held to a **statutory standard of care.**”

Justice Dennis O'Connor, 2002, Report of the Walkerton Inquiry

THE EVIDENCE

Taking Care of Your Drinking Water: A Guide for Members of Municipal Councils

p3

“The Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002 includes a [statutory standard of care](#) for individuals who have oversight responsibilities for municipal drinking water systems that can extend to municipal councillors as of [January 1, 2013](#). There are legal consequences for negligence, including possible fines or imprisonment.”

THE EVIDENCE

Taking Care of Your Drinking Water: A Guide for Members of Municipal Councils

p6

“Owners and operators are responsible for ensuring their drinking water systems: provide water that meets all **prescribed drinking water quality standards** operate in accordance with the Act and its regulations,”

P7

“It is important that **members of municipal council and municipal officials** with decision-making authority over the drinking water system understand that they **are personally liable**, even if the drinking water system is operated by a corporate entity other than the municipality.”

Downloading Responsibility???

“The province should come down here and pay for fluoridated water. **As usual, another version of download.** I would gladly put that \$600,000 in target programs. I have never in my 4 years seen a councilor say no to a public health report but I will not be supporting the public health recommendation to fluoridate. ”

Source: Mayor Goulbourne, Welland, ON, Transcript of Region of Niagara Committee of the Whole Meeting January 24, 2008

Who should decide what medication I take and how much?

Myself and a trained medical practitioner!

NOT City Council.

NOT my neighbour in a referendum.

CONCLUSION

Any claims that these fluoride products are **SAFE** are **FALSE** because they are NOT based on:

1. accepted scientific standards
or
2. the legal requirements in Ontario.

NO means NO

NO government approval = **NOT SAFE**

NO animal or human safety studies =
NOT SAFE

NO animal or human safety studies =
NOT LEGAL

For more information contact:

Foli v. MWD of SoCal, California, filed Aug 2011

Jeff Green, Plaintiff Spokesperson, Citizens for Safe Drinking Water (800) 728-3833,
greenjeff@cox.net keepers-of-the-Well.org

Kyle Nordrehaug, Attorney , Blumenthal, Nordrehaug & Bhomik, (858) 551-1223

Canada

Carole Clinch, author of 15+ reviews to various government agencies, 4 peer-reviewed published reviews RE artificial water fluoridation, caclinch@gmail.com, (519) 884-8184

Do not administer these fluoride products to us topically, systemically through our ingestion, or through our skin from our baths and showers, without our consent, until these products are government approved.